Navy Next-Generation Attack Submarine (SSN[X]) Program: Background and Issues for Congress

Introduction

The Navy has been procuring Virginia-class nuclear powered attack submarines (SSNs) since FY1998. The Navy's envisaged successor to the Virginia-class design is the Next-Generation Attack Submarine, or SSN(X). The Navy's FY2025 budget submission deferred the envisaged procurement of the first SSN(X) from FY2035 to FY2040 due, the Navy stated, to limitations on the Navy's total budget. The Navy's proposed FY2026 budget requests $622.8 million in research and development funding for the SSN(X) program.

Submarines in the U.S. Navy

The U.S. Navy operates nuclear-powered ballistic missile submarines (SSBNs), nuclear-powered cruise missile and special operations forces (SOF) submarines (SSGNs), and nuclear-powered attack submarines (SSNs). The SSNs are general-purpose submarines that can perform a variety of peacetime and wartime missions. For additional information on Navy submarine programs, see CRS Report RL32418, *Navy Virginia-Class Submarine Program and AUKUS Submarine (Pillar 1) Project: Background and Issues for Congress*, by Ronald O'Rourke, and CRS Report R41129, *Navy Columbia (SSBN-826) Class Ballistic Missile Submarine Program: Background and Issues for Congress*, by Ronald O'Rourke.

Virginia-Class Program

When procured at a rate of two boats per year, Virginia-class SSNs (**Figure 1**) equipped with the Virginia Payload Module (VPM) have an estimated procurement cost of more than $4 billion per boat.

Figure 1. Virginia-Class Attack Submarine (SSN)

Source: Cropped version of photograph accompanying Dan Ward, "Opinion: How Budget Pressure Prompted the Success of Virginia-Class Submarine Program," *USNI News*, November 3, 2014. The caption states that it shows USS *Minnesota* (SSN-783) under construction in 2012 and credits the photograph to the U.S. Navy.

Submarine Construction Industrial Base

U.S. Navy submarines are built by General Dynamics' Electric Boat Division (GD/EB) of Groton, CT, and Quonset Point, RI, and Huntington Ingalls Industries' Newport News Shipbuilding (HII/NNS), of Newport News, VA. These are the only two shipyards in the country capable of building nuclear-powered ships. GD/EB builds submarines only, while HII/NNS also builds nuclear-powered aircraft carriers. The submarine construction industrial base also includes hundreds of supplier firms, as well as laboratories and research facilities, in numerous states. Much of the material procured from supplier firms for building submarines comes from sole-source suppliers.

SSN(X) Program

Program Designation

In the designation SSN(X), the "X" means that the exact design of the boat has not yet been determined.

Procurement Schedule

The Navy's FY2025 budget submission deferred the envisaged procurement of the first SSN(X) from FY2035 to FY2040. The Navy's FY2025 30-year (FY2035-FY2054) shipbuilding plan states: "The delay of SSN(X) construction start from the mid-2030s to the early 2040s presents a significant challenge to the submarine design industrial base associated with the extended gap between the *Columbia* class and SSN(X) design programs, which the Navy will manage."

Design of the SSN(X)

The Navy states that "SSN(X) will be designed to increase to counter the growing threat posed by adversaries in strategic competition for undersea supremacy. It will provide greater speed, increased horizontal [i.e., torpedo room] payload capacity, improved signatures, flexibility to adapt to future threats, and higher operational availability. SSN(X) will conduct full spectrum undersea warfare and be able to employ and coordinate with a larger contingent of remote autonomous systems (RAS) and sensors as a force multiplier." (Budget-justification book for FY2026 Research, Development, Test, and Evaluation, Navy account, Vol. 3 [Budget Activity 5], p. 1244.)

Navy officials have stated that the Navy wants the SSN(X) to incorporate the speed and payload of the Navy's fast and heavily armed Seawolf (SSN-21) class SSN design, the acoustic quietness and sensors of the Virginia-class design, and the operational availability and service life of the Columbia-class design. These requirements will likely result in an SSN(X) design that is larger than the original Virginia-class design, which has a submerged displacement of about 7,800 tons, and possibly larger than the original

SSN-21 design, which has a submerged displacement of 9,138 tons. Due to technological changes over the years for improved quieting and other purposes, the designs of U.S. Navy submarines with similar payloads have generally been growing in displacement from one generation to the next.

Potential Procurement Cost
A January 2025 Congressional Budget Office (CBO) report on the Navy's FY2025 30-year shipbuilding plan states that in constant FY2024 dollars, the SSN(X)'s average unit procurement cost is estimated at $7.1 billion by the Navy and $8.7 billion by CBO. CBO's estimate is about 23% higher than the Navy's estimate. The CBO report states that CBO's estimate assumes that the SSN(X) design would have a submerged displacement of about 10,100 tons, about 11% more than that of the SSN-21 design.

Issues for Congress
Issues for Congress include the following:

- whether the Navy has accurately identified the SSN(X)'s required capabilities and analyzed their impact on the SSN(X)'s cost;

- the potential future impact of the SSN(X) program on funding for other Navy program priorities, particularly if CBO's estimate of the SSN(X)'s procurement cost is more accurate than the Navy's estimate;

- the potential impact of deferring procurement of the first SSN(X) from FY2035 to FY2040 on the future U.S. ability to maintain undersea superiority and fulfill U.S. Navy missions;

- the Navy's plan for managing the impact on the submarine design industrial base of deferring procurement of the first SSN(X) to FY2040;

- whether it would be feasible and cost-effective for the SSN(X) to be powered by a reactor plant using low-enriched uranium (LEU), rather than the highly enriched uranium (HEU) used on other Navy nuclear-powered ships, particularly if procurement of the first SSN(X) is deferred to FY2040, and if so, what impact that would have on nuclear arms control and nonproliferation efforts and SSN(X) costs and capabilities; and

- whether each SSN(X) should be built jointly by GD/EB and HII/NNS (the approach used for building Virginia-class SSNs and, in modified form, for building Columbia-class SSBNs), or whether individual SSN(X)s should instead be completely built within a given shipyard (the separate-yard approach used for building earlier Navy SSNs and SSBNs).

Regarding the fifth issue above, a May 17, 2024, Navy information paper provided to CRS states that

> The shift in expected delivery of SSN(X) [due to the deferral of the lead ship procurement from FY2035 to FY2040] does not change the Navy's position of not pursuing LEU fuel. Naval fuel system testing and evaluation would need to be funded and performed. Prior estimates have been 10-15 years and $1B to complete enough work to determine whether a fuel system may be viable and what performance may be achieved. Success is not assured. An optimistic estimate of total time to develop and deploy a naval LEU fuel system is 20-30 years (which includes the 10-15 years initial development program) and $25B. This does not include the cost of additional force structure to cover mission of submarines being refueled.

> The U.S. Navy has developed and improved technology using highly enriched uranium (HEU) fuel over the past 75 years, providing the U.S. Navy with unmatched asymmetric advantages in naval warfare. U.S. Navy warships requirements determine naval fuel system design features, including the use of HEU fuel. An LEU fuel system would not provide any military benefit to the performance of U.S. naval reactors. It would decrease the available energy in the propulsion plant, negatively affect reactor endurance, reactor size, ship costs, force structure, and maintenance infrastructure.

FY2026 Funding Request
The Navy's proposed FY2026 budget requests $622.8 million in research and development funding for the SSN(X) program, including $366.0 million in Project 2368 (SSN[X] Class Submarine Development) within Program Element (PE) 0604850N (SSN[X]), which is line 159 in the Navy's FY2026 research and development account, and $256.8 million in Project 2370 (Next Generation Fast Attack Nuclear Propulsion Development) within PE 0603570N (Advanced Nuclear Power Systems), which is line 47.

Ronald O'Rourke, Specialist in Naval Affairs

IF11826

Disclaimer

This document was prepared by the Congressional Research Service (CRS). CRS serves as nonpartisan shared staff to congressional committees and Members of Congress. It operates solely at the behest of and under the direction of Congress. Information in a CRS Report should not be relied upon for purposes other than public understanding of information that has been provided by CRS to Members of Congress in connection with CRS's institutional role. CRS Reports, as a work of the United States Government, are not subject to copyright protection in the United States. Any CRS Report may be reproduced and distributed in its entirety without permission from CRS. However, as a CRS Report may include copyrighted images or material from a third party, you may need to obtain the permission of the copyright holder if you wish to copy or otherwise use copyrighted material.